

Berlin Search Meetup October, 2023

Rethinking offline search evaluation

© Doug Turnbull (<u>http://softwaredoug.com</u>), all opinions my own, not my employer

Obligatory Bio Slide

Hi I'm Doug (@softwaredoug everywhere)

Long-time search enthusiast... Not yet (never?) an expert

I wrote some search books, did some open source

I work at Reddit

I worked at Shopify & OpenSource Connections in search

I blog here: <u>http://softwaredoug.com</u>



Outline

- Judgments & NDCG
- Obvious problems with NDCG (and pals)
- Not so obvious problems w/ the judgment model
- What we're missing in offline evaluation
- A better way: <u>Treatment fidelity</u> in search relevance
- Conclusions... Science is Hard

JUDGMENT REGIME OF EVALUATION

Judgments

Query	Document	Grade (0-1)
Spicy Taco	P	0.9
Spicy Taco		0.4
Spicy Taco	(0.2
Hamburger	ڪ	0.9
Hamburger	P	0.2

(n)DCG what is it?

Query	Document	Grade (0-1)
Spicy Taco	P	0.9
Spicy Taco		0.4
Spicy Taco	~	0.2
Hamburger		0.9
Hamburger	P	0.2

Our latest and greatest algorithm returns:



1. 🥪

C

2.

3.

(n)DCG what is it?

Query	Document	Grade (0-1)
Spicy Taco	P	0.9
Spicy Taco		0.4
Spicy Taco		0.2
Hamburger		0.9
Hamburger	P	0.2



(n)DCG what is it?

Query	Document	Grade (0-1)
Spicy Taco	P	0.9
Spicy Taco		0.4
Spicy Taco	~	0.2
Hamburger		0.9
Hamburger	P	0.2

Our latest and greatest algorithm returns:



1. 🥪

C

2.

3.

Higher positions more important...

Query	Document	Grade (0-1)
Spicy Taco	P	0.9
Spicy Taco	e	0.4
Spicy Taco		0.2
Hamburger	e	0.9
Hamburger	P	0.2



*Not actual discount used, just simpler math for illustration

Label each result with a grade...

Query	Document	Grade (0-1)
Spicy Taco	P	0.9
Spicy Taco		0.4
Spicy Taco	6	0.2
Hamburger		0.9
Hamburger	P	0.2

Spicy Taco			
	Pos'n Discount (1/posn)*	Result Grade	
1. 🥪	1 / 1 = 1	0.4	
2. 🥟	1 / 2 = 0.5	0.9	
3. 👘	1 / 3 = 0.333	0.2	

*Not actual discount used, just simpler math for illustration

Multiply each row...

Query	Document	Grade (0-1)
Spicy Taco	P	0.9
Spicy Taco		0.4
Spicy Taco	6	0.2
Hamburger	2	0.9
Hamburger	P	0.2



		Pos'n Discount (1/posn)	Result Grade	Posn Discounted Gr
•		1 / 1 = 1	0.4	1 * 0.4 = 0.4
•	$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$	1 / 2 = 0.5	0.9	0.5 * 0.9 = 0.45
•		1 / 3 = 0.333	0.2	0.2 * 0.333 = 0.066

Sum for DCG

Query	Document	Grade (0-1)
Spicy Taco	P	0.9
Spicy Taco	e	0.4
Spicy Taco	6	0.2
Hamburger	2	0.9
Hamburger	P	0.2



	Pos'n Discount (1/posn)	Result Grade	Posn Discounted Gr
. 🥥	1 / 1 = 1	0.4	1 * 0.4 = 0.4
. 🧭	1 / 2 = 0.5	0.9	0.5 * 0.9 = 0.45
•	1 / 3 = 0.333	0.2	0.2 * 0.333 = 0.066

$$DCG@3 = 0.4 + 0.45 + 0.066 \\ = 0.916$$

Compute <u>ideal</u> for this query

Query	Document	Grade (0-1)
Spicy Taco	P	0.9
Spicy Taco	e	0.4
Spicy Taco	6	0.2
Hamburger		0.9
Hamburger	0	0.2

Spicy Taco	\mathbb{S}
------------	--------------

		Pos'n Discount (1/posn)	Result Grade	Posn Discounted Gr
1.	\bigcirc	1 / 1 = 1	0.9	1 * 0.4 = 0.9
2.		1 / 2 = 0.5	0.4	0.5 * 0.9 = 0.2
3.		1 / 3 = 0.333	0.2	0.2 * 0.333 = 0.066

iDCG@3 = 0.9 + 0.45 + 0.066 = 1.416

NDCG@3 = DCG@3 / IDCG@3

Query	Document	Grade (0-1)
Spicy Taco	P	0.9
Spicy Taco		0.4
Spicy Taco	G	0.2
Hamburger	2	0.9
Hamburger	P	0.2

Spicy Taco	$\left\{ \right\}$
------------	--------------------

	Pos'n Discount (1/posn)	Result Grade	Posn Discounted Gr
. 🥥	1 / 1 = 1	0.4	1 * 0.4 = 0.4
. 🧭	1 / 2 = 0.5	0.9	0.5 * 0.9 = 0.45
•	1 / 3 = 0.333	0.2	0.2 * 0.333 = 0.066

THE PROBLEMS

What if our ideal is terrible?



Just stick to DCG?

Query	Document	Grade (0-1)
Spicy Taco	1	0.4
Spicy Taco	e	0.4
Spicy Taco		0.2

1.

2.

3.

Spicy Taco	Q	
Pos'n Discount (1/posn)	Result Grade	Posn Discounted Gr
1 / 1 = 1	0.4	1 * 0.4 = 0.4
1 / 2 = 0.5	0.4	0.5 * 0.4 = 0.2
1 / 3 = 0.333	0.2	0.2 * 0.333 = 0.066
	Spicy Taco Pos'n Discount (1/posn) 1 / 1 = 1 1 / 2 = 0.5 1 / 3 = 0.333	Spicy TacoPos'n Discount $(1/posn)$ Result Grade $1/1 = 1$ 0.4 $1/2 = 0.5$ 0.4 $1/3 = 0.333$ 0.2

$$DCG@3 = 0.4 + 0.2 + 0.066 \\ = 0.6066$$

(Ok this seems 'lower' than other results)



Where do these come from?

Focus on engagement based



Biases galore...



spicy taco

 Taco Bell

 3.7 ★★★★ ★ (682) · \$ · Mexican

 0.7 mi · 801 Emmet St N

 Image: Best spicy potato tacos around."



Torchy's Tacos 4.3 ★★★★★ (470) · \$ · Mexican 1.4 mi · 1951 Swanson Dr Unit 110 Opens soon · 10AM ③ "OMG Great flavorful spicy ♠ tacos."

Cinema Taco

4.0 ★★★★★ (121) · \$ · Tacos 1.1 mi · 110 E Main St Closed · Opens 11AM Cool, compact stop for Mexican bites



Where to the clicks go?



Position Bias



6666

Chili Pepper Madness https://www.chilipeppermadness.com > Recipes

Bold and Spicy Taco Recipes from ...

Here you'll find my collection of homemade **taco** recipes that focus on big and bold flavors, many of them nice and **spicy**.

Position Bias at weird places



Chili Pepper Madness https://www.chilipeppermadness.com > Recipes

Bold and Spicy Taco Recipes from ...

Here you'll find my collection of homemade **taco** recipes that focus on big and bold flavors, many of them nice and **spicy**.





Attractiveness Bias



spicy taco

 Taco Bell

 3.7 ★★★★ (682) ⋅ \$ ⋅ Mexican

 0.7 mi ⋅ 801 Emmet St N

 "Best spicy potato tacos around."



66

AN ACTUAL TACO!! Nomnomnom clickclicklclick

 Torchy's Tacos

 4.3 ★★★★★ (470) · \$ · Mexican

 1.4 mi · 1951 Swanson Dr Unit 110

 Opens soon · 10AM

 ③ "OMG Great flavorful spicy ♠ tacos."



WTF is this!?

Cinema Taco 4.0 ★★★★★ (121) · \$ · Tacos 1.1 mi · 110 E Main St Closed · Opens 11AM Cool, compact stop for Mexican bites

More places \rightarrow

Chili Pepper Madness https://www.chilipeppermadness.com > Recipes

Bold and Spicy Taco Recipes from ...

Here you'll find my collection of homemade **taco** recipes that focus on big and bold flavors, many of them nice and **spicy**.



Confidence bias

gle

spicy taco	x 🎍 😨 🍳		Views	Clicks	Conversions
Taco Bell 3.7 ★★★★★ (682) · \$ · Mexican 0.7 mi · 801 Emmet St N ② "Best spicy potato tacos around."			1000	135	32
Torchy's Tacos 4.3 ★★★★★ (470) · \$ · Mexican 1.4 mi · 1951 Swanson Dr Unit 110 Opens soon · 10AM		$\mathbf{\hat{e}}\mathbf{\hat{e}}$	10	2	1
 ■ "OMG Great flavorful spicy → tacos." Cinema Taco 4.0 ★ ★ ★ ★ (121) · \$ · Tacos 1.1 mi · 110 E Main St Closed · Opens 11AM Cool, compact stop for Mexican bites 			Taco Bell Torchy's	- CTR 0.135 - CTR 0.2	
More places → Chili Pepper Madness https://www.chilipeppermadness.com Recipes : Bold and Spicy Taco Recipes from Here you'll find my collection of homemade taco recipes that focus on big and b flavors, many of them nice and spicy.	blo		How mu trust stats amou da	ch do we these given unt of ata?	

Presentation / Survivorship bias

spicy taco	x 🕴 🔅 Q		Views	Clicks	Conversions
Taco Bell		000000			
3.7 ★★★★ (682) · \$ · Mexican			1000	125	20
0.7 mi · 801 Emmet St N			1000	100	52
Torchy's Tacos 4.3 ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ (470) · \$ · Mexican 1.4 mi · 1951 Swanson Dr Unit 110 Opens soon · 10AM ② "OMG Great flavorful spicy i tacos."		$\mathbf{\hat{e}}\mathbf{\hat{e}}$	10	2	1
Cinema Taco 4.0 ★★★★★ (121) · \$ · Tacos 1.1 mi · 110 E Main St			Taco Be Torchy'	ell – CTR 0.1 s – CTR 0.2	L35 2

Brazos

Views

0

-0 / 0 -> undefined

Conversions

0

Clicks

0

Closed · Opens 11 AM Cool, compact stop for Mexican bites



Meanwhile on page 5... the good tacos!:



Brazos Tacos - Charlottesville

Select a location from Brazos and order onli direct!

(n)DCG just shuffles the deckchairs 🚔



spicy taco

Taco Bell 3.7 ★★★★ (682) · \$ · Mexican 0.7 mi · 801 Emmet St N Best spicy potato tacos around."

Torchy's Tacos 4.3 * * * * * (470) · \$ · Mexican 1.4 mi · 1951 Swanson Dr Unit 110 Opens soon · 10AM "OMG Great flavorful spicy ^(A) tacos."

Cinema Taco 4.0 ★★★★★ (121) · \$ · Tacos 1.1 mi · 110 E Main St Closed · Opens 11 AM Cool, compact stop for Mexican bites



X 🤳 💽 🔍



鬲



We're restricted to answering questions within some top N labeled results

N is very small

NumDocs is very very large

(n)DCG struggles with recall



Brazos Tacos - Charlottesville

gle

Select a location from **Brazos** and order onli direct!

A BIGGER PROBLEM

The judgment based model is broken?

MICES 2019 MIX-CAMP E-COMMERCE SEARCH

Measuring and Optimizing Findability in e-commerce Search

Speaker: Andreas Wagner SEARCH I HUB



MICES 2019
Andreas Wagner
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xgHf9k272nc

Haystack 2019 Tara Diedrichsen, Tito Sierra https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7PjBSH6Wqhc



Query = bicycle



Andreas Wagner, Measuring and Optimizing Findability in E-Commerce, MICES 2019

Different Approaches to Search Results Evaluation

Results list: assess overall relevance of top ranking results list

		104
ie:	er (12.000+)	-
0	na Durketer wat Selarty v Marchiel	R
	D-3 - 4 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0	letter Revana -
	 Bog Teste, to soil Testel Rest analysis nerves if a "Fresh Pick: calls regarbelly 'note:" Nerves Bogs 1 Say 12 2018 - 1018 works 1 Stars Harley 	7
•	2. Set Destinant buy GM - serially. Teste phase talk to deliver on its processes, and there is no reconstruction in the shark Menatives (U.S.) - Eq. 15.215. MOIN lang. COMMENDATE of Reveals Brings in Numbers - CML even. Add Reveals Relations.	
	 Latent Tenis reven. Restarchers opcome emaility function Tenis-Muttel Skepters false VErsion 5 for VE2018 - 2148 work - Genere Betry 	
	a The Tayla of Chika Might Have the Sense Problems as Tayla Banni's Chika (154:14-2010) DAXY 300 cents By Olavits Amin	
	("Now he is an incomette.)")	

Document level: assess relevance of individual top ranking documents

	9
Newy (10.000+)	2
Group Danitative: High Selarity	9
- P- (9 (8) *) = 0 (8	Setter Res
 Story Toutin, to soil Testal Hastel analysis nerves it a Testal Plast calls regarbelly 'major' humans Bays (Jay 12,2000, 1010 and) (Jane Hanky 	\leftarrow
(a) 2 Sell Testa and July GML-seriously, Testa stress fails to deliver us ity provines, and there is a Menetistic (15.) Sep 15 2001. INFIG Large COMMERTAIN: and Reason's Strength in Surday. U	n mummentum in the stack All were Just Norve, Dataethice
South Testa and South GMA - seriously, Testa stitus halo to detiver on Hyperanisms, and there is a Memoritatic (LSA). South 2008. NEXTS Area COMMERCARY, and Passes's Strengt in Standary. U S. Latent Testa revers. Researchers: proceed anticip Faces in Testa Mastal Sciences Intelli- VE and Commercial 2008. Commercial Sciences Account and Sciences Sciences Sciences Intelligence	n mananing in the stack in wers
2 Solid Sector and Song GMA - verticeday. Sector others halfs for deliver set (b) processes, and there is a Manualization (1.5.1). Sog 15.2013. INDUST Along COMMERTAIN: and Process's Strength in Number: 10 3 Latinut, Tenlar record, Romanizations: percanance encountry Rows in Tenlar Mantal Skeylens Index 10 3 Latinut, Tenlar of Chilos Mignet Assoc (b) Same Residence as Tenlar 3	n mananingan in the shack 40 wers : Jeff Neves, Darkeffikter
2 Self Testa and Ison GMA - seriously, Testa other halo iso defiver on Hyperanous, and there is a Manaetizach (U.S.). Say 15-2018. NEXES Areg. COMMERCAN: and Testa Manaetic Testa (S.S.). Say 15-2018. NEXES Areg. COMMERCAN: An International Structure Commercial Structure Commer	in reasoning in the stack in overs

Why is (n)DCG overrated?

- NDCG itself is somewhat flawed, forcing us to 0-1 scale
- The underlying labels will always have biases, some very hard to overcome
- The judgment model itself assumes singular query-doc model matters most

Overrated != Useless... or even "bad"

- NDCG is just one metric, amongst many to examine
- Learning to Rank depend on us having good NDCG

There is no "one true metric"

- Know what your metric *actually measures*
 - Improvement on human labels
 - Improvement on known CTR results, etc
- We can make decisions with many metrics

WHAT WE MISS IN OFFLINE

Why not YOLO ship to A/B?



... because we don't gain (much) knowledge



... because we don't gain (much) knowledge



Think about medical testing



In search we often skip this

 And ship it straight to users

... not so good



Our actual job: develop and test hypotheses





Instead of is this a good change?



Is this the <u>expected</u> treatment?



Dev

What we miss: treatment fidelity



<u>Fidelity</u>: Did we apply intervention as intended?



<u>Fidelity</u>: Did we apply intervention as intended?



Solution: iterate on procedures -> repeatable











TREATMENT FIDELITY IN OFFLINE SEARCH RELEVANCE

Q's for Treatment fidelity in search

• Did I change the expected queries?

• How much is that change?



Hypothesis: this change will improve business outcome

• Functionally, is the change what I intended?

Quantifying change



Other Metrics, that account for ranking:

Rank-biased overlap: https://github.com/cha ngyaochen/rbo

Damage:

https://github.com/o19
s/search-metrics/blob/
main/qual.py#L64

Quantifying <u>change</u>

Just <u>change</u> we don't know if its good or bad

Other Metrics, that account for ranking:

Rank-biased overlap: https://github.com/cha ngyaochen/rbo

Damage:

https://github.com/o19
s/search-metrics/blob/
main/qual.py#L64

Jaccard



<u>A ∩ B</u> _	<u>2 shared results</u>	=	0 E
а U в 👘	4 total results		0.5

For which queries?

Did I target 'food' queries?

Query	<u>Jaccard</u> (higher, less change)
Spicy taco	0.5
Beer	0.25

For which queries?

Uhoh, what's happening...

-

<u>Query</u>	Jaccard (higher, less change)	
Spicy taco	0.5	
Beer	0.25	
puppies	0.0	
Tiger King	0.0	
Tree pruning	1.0	

Iterate to better target what we expect...

OK now my A/B test will measure what I expect

<u>Query</u>	Jaccard (higher, less change)
Spicy taco	0.5
Beer	0.25
puppies	0.8
Tiger King	0.9
Tree pruning	1.0

But is this the change we expect?



Assume we have a taxonomy: q=spicy taco -> Food / in_bread / hinge Food / in_bread / hinge -> taco Food / in_bread / detached -> sandwich

```
Food / in_bread / detached -> sandwich
```

1. 2. 3. 4. Food / in_bread / detached
Food / ...

Food / in_bread / detached

Food / in_bread / detached

But is this the change we expect?



Assume we have a taxonomy: q=spicy taco -> Food / in_bread / hinge Food / in_bread / hinge -> taco Food / in bread / detached -> sandwich Food / in_bread / detached 1. 2. Food / ... 3. Food / in_bread / detached Food / in_bread / detached

 $TAX@4 = 1 + \Sigma 0.1 * (1 - nodes_apart)$

But is this the change we expect?



Food / in_bread / detached Food / ... Food / in_bread / detached

Food / in_bread / detached

TAX@4 = 1 + (0.1 * -1) + (0.1 * -2) + (0.1 * 0) + (0.1 * 0)= 0.7

Did we make expected change?

<u>Query</u>	Jaccard (higher, less change)	Tax Sim Control	<u>Tax Sim Test</u>	Did we increase this area of our ranking over control?
Spicy taco	0.5	0.2	0.7	
Beer	0.25	0.1	0.5	
puppies	0.8	0.0	0.0	
Tiger King	0.9	0.0	0.0	
Tree pruning	1.0	0.0	0.0	

Hypothesis is valid, now test!

Offline Priorities:







✓ Is good change?

Offline ensure a well-formed hypothesis

Hypothesis: If the system improves 'taxonomic similarity' between query and doc, on food queries

(As tested and clearly shown in this offline test)

... I will see an increase in business metrics

... SCIENCE IS HARD...

Simulations still valuable



••

Simulations let us...

Iterate on solutions for **<u>quality</u>** not just **<u>fidelity</u>** outside online testing

We still want to promote promising changes to A/B...

...but more importantly we want them to have the impact what where we expect

... But simulations will always be limited

Biases galore (presentation, attractiveness, the inherent flaws in the judgment-based models)

<u>Accept their limitations</u>, use simple mitigations for biases, but understand their flaws instead of fixing them.

... we gain no real knowledge



What did I even build!?

All that matters is NDCG went up!



Successful A/B test

YOLO Again





NDCG went up!



Really these are all just models...



Some model "loss function" that attempts to explain offline

... we use to create systems that probe the world

